Combining perturbative and nonperturbative transverse momentum in SIDIS

Ted Rogers Jefferson Lab & Old Dominion University

Based on:

- J.O. Gonzalez, TCR, N. Sato, Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 3, 034002
- F. Aslan, L. Gamberg, T. Rainaldi, TCR, *in preparation*
- J.O. Gonzalez, T. Rainaldi, TCR, *in preparation*

Workshop on MCEGs for the EIC, Friday November 18, 2022

Balance two (somewhat) competing goals

- Maximize predictive power from leading twist *collinear* pQCD as Q → ∞
- Find sensitivity to hadron structure (*typical experimental scales:* $Q \approx 1 - 4$ GeVs.)

Boundaries of regions
in muon-deuteron deep inelastic scattering in muon-deuteron deep inelastic scattering

0.60 <z< 0.80 0.063 % 0.005 0.18 % 0.01 0.086 % 0.005 0.62 % 0.02

3

Separating large and small transverse momentum in factorization theorems

• Expansion at small q_T :

$$
\Gamma = \underline{\mathrm{App}_{\#1} \Gamma} + O\left(\frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right)
$$

TMD factorization

• Expansion at large q_T :

$$
\Gamma = \underline{\mathrm{App}_{\#2}\Gamma} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{q_T^2}\right)
$$

Fixed order collinear factorization

Separating large and small transverse momentum in factorization theorems

• Expansion at small q_T :

$$
\Gamma = \underline{\mathrm{App}_{\#1} \Gamma} + O\left(\frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right)
$$

TMD factorization

• Expansion at large q_T :

$$
\Gamma = \underline{\mathrm{App}_{\#2}\Gamma} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{q_T^2}\right)
$$

Fixed order collinear factorization

• Explicit error: $\Gamma = \text{App}_{\#1}\Gamma + \left[\Gamma - \text{App}_{\#1}\Gamma\right]$ $= \mathrm{App}_{\#1}\Gamma + \mathrm{App}_{\#2}\big[\Gamma - \mathrm{App}_{\#1}\Gamma\big] + O$ $\left(\frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right)$ $m²$ q_T^2 ◆ $=$ App_{#1} Γ + App_{#2} Γ - App_{#2}App_{#1} Γ + O $\sqrt{m^2}$ *Q*² ◆

Collinear factorization

• TMD version

$$
\Gamma = \underbrace{App_{\#1} \Gamma}_{\text{Two}} + \underbrace{App_{\#2} \Gamma - App_{\#2} App_{\#1} \Gamma}_{\text{Fixed order collinear}} + O\left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{p_{\#2} \Gamma - App_{\#2} App_{\#1} \Gamma}_{\text{Asymptotic}}
$$

• Inclusive DIS

$$
\sum \int \mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{q}_T \; \Gamma = \hat{\Gamma} \otimes f + \left(\frac{m^2}{Q^2}\right)
$$

6

How it works in an easy case \overline{c} d⇠*F*ˆ

• Stress-test DIS factorization in other finite-range, renormalizable theories

 $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ at $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ at $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$. (16) at $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\lambda \Psi_N \psi_q \phi + \text{H.C.}$

• Exact $O(\lambda^2)$ (SI)DIS cross section is easy to calculate • Exact $O(\lambda^2)$ (SI)DIS cross section is easy to calculate $\frac{1}{2}$ \bullet Exact $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ (si)Dis cross section is easy to calculate

Parton densities with MS-bar renormalization

• Collinear

$$
f_{0,i/p}(\xi) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d} w^-}{2\pi} \, e^{-i\xi p^+ w^-} \, \bra{p} \bar{\psi}_{0,i}(0,w^-,\mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{T}}) \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \psi_{0,i}(0,0,\mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{T}}) \ket{p}
$$

$$
f_{i/p}(\xi;\mu)=Z_{i/i'}\otimes f_{0,i'/p}
$$

• Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) ² ⁰*,i*(0*,* ⁰*,* ⁰T)*|p*i*.* (27)

$$
f_{0,i/p}(\xi,\bm{k}_\mathrm{T}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d} w^-}{(2\pi)^3}\, e^{-i\xi p^+ w^- + i\bm{k}_\mathrm{T}\cdot\bm{w}_\mathrm{T}}\, \bra{p}\bar{\psi}_{0,i}(0,w^-,\bm{w}_\mathrm{T}) \frac{\gamma^+}{2}\psi_{0,i}(0,0,\bm{0}_\mathrm{T})\ket{p}
$$

 $f_{0,i/p}(\xi, \boldsymbol{k}_\mathrm{T}) = Z_2$ $f_{0,i/p}(\xi,\boldsymbol{k}_\mathrm{T})=Z_2f_{i/p}(\xi,\boldsymbol{k}_\mathrm{T};\mu)$

$$
f_{q/p}(\xi, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{T}}; \mu) = f_{q/p}(\xi, \boldsymbol{k}_{\mathrm{T}}; \mu_0) \exp \left\{-2 \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mu} \gamma_2(a_{\lambda}(\mu))\right\} \qquad \text{where} \qquad -\mu = 4 \text{ GeV}
$$

9 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

SIDIS structure functions

W+Y method vs power corrections

Factorized collinear structure functions ✓*m*² near
. \bullet *<u>Tructure functions</u>*

$$
F_1(x_{bj}, Q) = \sum_{i} \int_{x_{bj}}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \times
$$

$$
\times \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \delta \left(1 - \frac{x_{bj}}{\xi} \right) \delta_{qi} + a_{\lambda}(\mu) \left(1 - \frac{x_{bj}}{\xi} \right) \left[\ln(4) - \frac{\left(\frac{x_{bj}}{\xi} \right)^2 - 3\frac{x_{bj}}{\xi} + \frac{3}{2}}{\left(1 - \frac{x_{bj}}{\xi} \right)^2} - \ln \frac{4x_{bj}\mu^2}{Q^2(\xi - x_{bj})} \right] \delta_{pi} \right\} \times
$$

$$
\hat{F}_{1,q/i}(x_{bj}/\xi, \mu/Q; a_{\lambda}(\mu))
$$

$$
+ ARD structure function
$$

$$
\times \underbrace{\left\{ \delta \left(1 - \xi \right) \delta_{ip} + a_{\lambda}(\mu) \left(1 - \xi \right) \left[\frac{(m_q + \xi m_p)^2}{\Delta(\xi)^2} + \ln \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Delta(\xi)^2} \right) - 1 \right] \delta_{iq} \right\}}_{f_{i/p}(\xi; \mu)}
$$

 parton distribution function

13

Unpolarized structure functions

The generalized parton model as in Eq. (84) and evaluating the ϵ = 1 π ₂ π

 \sim \sim \sim on the equal sign is a type of conjectured approximation. This makes of conjectured • Only use TMD pdfs and ffs: $\frac{1}{2}$ *n* $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
F_{1,2}(x_{\text{bj}},Q,z_h,\boldsymbol{P}_{B\text{T}}) = \sum_{ij} \hat{F}_{1,2}^{ij} \int d^2 \boldsymbol{k}_{1\text{T}} d^2 \boldsymbol{k}_{2\text{T}} f_{i/p}(x_{\text{bj}},\boldsymbol{k}_{1\text{T}}) D_{B/j}(z_h,z_h \boldsymbol{k}_{2\text{T}}) \delta^{(2)} (\boldsymbol{q}_{\text{T}} + \boldsymbol{k}_{1\text{T}} - \boldsymbol{k}_{2\text{T}})
$$

• Integrate over transverse momentum

• Integrate over transverse momentum gives the naive parton model expectation,

$$
F_{1,2}(x_{\text{bj}}, Q^2) \stackrel{??}{=} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} \widehat{F}_{1,2}^{ij} f_{i/p}(x_{\text{bj}})
$$
TMD (x, \vec{k}_\perp)

$$
\int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{1T} f_{i/p}(x_{bj}, \mathbf{k}_{1T}) \stackrel{??}{=} f_{i/p}(x_{bj})
$$
\n
$$
\int dz_h \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{2T} z_h D_{B/j}(z_h, z_h \mathbf{k}_{2T}) \stackrel{??}{=} 1
$$
\nB. Pasquini

The generalized parton model (GPM)

Cross sections in transverse
Conservation of the conservation *g*
cordinate si \mathbb{R} –(75)–(75)–(75), but in transverse coordinate space, but in transverse coordinate space, space, \mathbb{R} $\,$ coordinate s dace (2⇡)² *^eiq*T*·b*^T ˜*fq/p*(*x*bj*, ^b*T; *^µ*)*,*

$$
\tilde{F}_1(x_{\text{bj}}, Q, \boldsymbol{b}_{\text{T}}) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}_{q/p}(x_{\text{bj}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\text{T}}; Q) + \tilde{Y}_1
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{F}_2(x_{\text{bj}}, Q, \boldsymbol{k}_{\text{T}}) = x_{\text{bj}} \tilde{f}_{q/p}(x_{\text{bj}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\text{T}}; Q) + \tilde{Y}_2
$$

$$
\tilde{f}_{q/p}(x_{\rm bj}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\rm T}; \mu) = \sum_{j} \int_{x_{\rm bj}}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\xi} \tilde{C}_{q/j}(x_{\rm bj}/\xi, \boldsymbol{b}_{\rm T}; \mu) \tilde{f}_{j/p}(\xi; \mu) + \mathcal{O}(m^2 b_{\rm T}^2)
$$
\n
$$
= f_{q/p}(x_{\rm bj}; \mu) - a_{\lambda}(\mu)(1 - x_{\rm bj}) \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 b_{\rm T}^2 e^{2\gamma_E}}{4}\right) + \dots + \mathcal{O}(m^2 b_{\rm T}^2)
$$

17 *.* (101)

 $\tilde{f}_{q/p}(x_{\textrm{bj}},\boldsymbol{b}_{\textrm{T}};\mu)=\tilde{f}_{q/p}^{\textrm{OPE}}(x_{\textrm{bj}},\boldsymbol{b}_{*};\mu)\exp\{-g_{q/p}(x_{\textrm{bj}},\boldsymbol{b}_{\textrm{T}})\}+\mathcal{O}\big(m^2b_{\textrm{max}}^2\big)$ $f_{q/p}(x_{\text{bj}},\boldsymbol{b}_{\text{T}};\mu)=f_{q/p}^{\text{GL}}(x_{\text{bj}},\boldsymbol{b}_{*};\mu)\exp\{-g_{q/p}(x_{\text{bj}},\boldsymbol{b}_{\text{T}})\}\ +\mathcal{O}(m^{2}\boldsymbol{b}_{\max}^{2})$ Jq/p ^(wb), \mathcal{O}_1 $f_{q/p}(x_{\text{bj}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\text{T}}; \mu) = f_{q/p}^{\text{O}}$ In applications to QCD at high energies, it is often the hope is that the expressions analogous to Eq. (106) can be

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 18

, *WNLL* and the sum *WNLL* + *Y* (see Eq. (3.3)), corresponding to the *Boglione et al, JHEP 1502 (2015) 095 Boglione et al, JHEP 1502 (2015) 095*

19

e+e- annihilation

E. Moffat, et al Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 5, 059904

- Blue band:
	- from survey of non-perturbative fits
- Pink band:
	- Large transverse momentum calculation, width from varying RG scale
- Green:
	- Small $q_T/Q \rightarrow 0$ asymptote
- No overlap in the transition region for smaller Q

Hadron structure oriented (HSO) approach

• W-term

$$
W(q_T, Q_0) = \int d^2\mathbf{k}_{1T} d^2\mathbf{k}_{2T} f(x, k_{1T}; Q_0) D(z, z k_{2T}; Q_0) \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}_T + \mathbf{k}_{1T} - \mathbf{k}_{2T})
$$

• Evolution

$$
\tilde{W}(b_T, Q) = \tilde{W}(b_T, Q_0) E(Q, Q_0, b_T)
$$
\n"Straightforward"

• Need input TMD pdf & ff for $all k_{1T}$ and k_{2T}

Hadron structure oriented (HSO) approach

• Probability density/ partonic structure interpretation thos as matter with the particular interpretation. One problem are way to the form of the form t *^E*(*Q*0*, b*T) ⌘ exp (Z *^µQ*⁰ $dens$ ^{*i*} (↵*s*(*µ*⁰); 1) ln *^Q*⁰ *^µ*⁰ *K*(↵*s*(*µ*⁰ *Q*⁰ *^K*˜inpt(*b*T; *^µ^Q*⁰

$$
f_{i/p}(x;Q_0) = \pi \int^{Q_0^2} {\rm d} k_{\rm T}^2 \, f_{i/p}(x,{\bm k}_{\rm T};Q_0)
$$

• Consistent large k_T behavior (LO for now) α . Consistent lenge here there is an β of α ratio α • Consistent large k_T behavior (LO for now) *z*_{*z*} *z*_{*z*} *n i*_{*d*} *id*_{*i*} *d*₂ *id*₂ *i*

$$
f(x,\pmb{k}_{\mathrm{T}};\mu_{Q_{0}},Q_{0}^{2})\stackrel{k_{\mathrm{T}}\approx Q_{0}}{\longrightarrow}\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}\left[A^{f}_{i/p}(x;\mu_{Q_{0}})+B^{f}_{i/p}(x;\mu_{Q_{0}})\ln\frac{Q_{0}^{2}}{k_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}\right]+\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}A^{f}_{g/p}(x;\mu_{Q_{0}})
$$

Impose a partonic interpretation at the input scale impose and small size \mathbf{r} functional form of Eq. (65) and the value of \boldsymbol{a} and \boldsymbol{b} arbitrary, but a small \boldsymbol{b} is the use of *a* **partonic interpretations** *a k* the input scale in $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ *fi/p* # \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{infs} $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ *A for g-fun Cf i/p* or g-function!)
<i>c f
interpretation at the in *Af i/p*(*x*; *^µ^Q*⁰) + *^B^f* 1 .
د *Af g/p*(*x*; *µ^Q*⁰) + (Integral constraints even for g-function!)

• HSO constrained **Exercise Server C**PE on the first line of Eq. (64). All of the nonperturbative transverse momentum dependence is contained in the nonredistriance

$$
f_{i/p}(x, k_{\text{T}}; \mu_{Q_0}, Q_0^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{k_{\text{T}}^2 + m_{f_{i/p}}^2} \left[A_{i/p}^f(x; \mu_{Q_0}) + B_{i/p}^f(x; \mu_{Q_0}) \ln \frac{Q_0^2}{k_{\text{T}}^2 + m_{f_{i/p}}^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{k_{\text{T}}^2 + m_{f_{g/p}}^2} A_{g/p}^f(x; \mu_{Q_0}) + \frac{C_{i/p}^f}{\pi M_{f_{i/p}}^2} e^{-k_{\text{T}}^2 / M_{f_{i/p}}^2} \right]
$$
\nIntegral constraint

*k*²

 $\ddot{}$

$$
C_{i/p}^{f} \equiv f_{i/p}(x; \mu_{Q_0}) - A_{i/p}^{f}(x; \mu_{Q_0}) \ln \left(\frac{\mu_{Q_0}}{m_{f_{i/p}}}\right) - B_{i/p}^{f}(x; \mu_{Q_0}) \ln \left(\frac{\mu_{Q_0}}{m_{f_{i/p}}}\right) \ln \left(\frac{Q_0^2}{\mu_{Q_0} m_{f_{i/p}}}\right) - A_{g/p}^{f}(x; \mu_{Q_0}) \ln \left(\frac{\mu_{Q_0}}{m_{f_{g/p}}}\right) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{Q_0})}{2\pi} \left\{ [C_{\Delta}^{i/p} \otimes f_{i/p}](x; \mu_{Q_0}) + [C_{\Delta}^{g/p} \otimes f_{g/p}](x; \mu_{Q_0}) \right\}
$$

• Standard version ⇥ (*Pqg* ⌦ *fg/p*)(*x*; *µ^Q*⁰) where

$$
g_{j/p}(x_{\text{bj}}, b_{\text{T}}) = \frac{1}{4} M_{g_F}^2 b_{\text{T}}^2, \qquad g_{h/j}(z_h, b_{\text{T}}) = \frac{1}{4 z_h^2} M_{g_D}^2 b_{\text{T}}^2
$$

Gaussian NP core

 $\sqrt{2}$

Impose the partonic interpretation at the input scale

Conclusions

- Monte Carlo question: Importance of "large" vs "small" transverse momentum?
- What does large/small transverse momentum mean?
- Answer depends on how simulation is to be used:
	- Testing notions of intrinsicness / partonic structure
	- Precision at high energies?